

The stability of a quadratic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative

M. Eshaghi Gordji

Department of Mathematics, Semnan University,
P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran
e-mail: madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com

H. Khodaie

Department of Mathematics, Semnan University,
P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran
e-mail: khodaie.ham@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we achieve the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the quadratic type functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2cz) + f(x+y-2cz) + c^2 f(2x) + c^2 f(2y) \\ &= 2[f(x+y) + c^2 f(x+z) + c^2 f(x-z) + c^2 f(y+z) + c^2 f(y-z)] \end{aligned}$$

for fixed integers c with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$, by using the fixed point alternative.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [26] proposed the stability problem for functional equations in the following question regarding to the stability of group homomorphism:

Let $(G_1, .)$ be a group and let $(G_2, *)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(., .)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, dose there exist a $\delta > 0$, such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x.y), h(x)*h(y)) < \delta$, for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$, for all $x \in G_1$? In other words, under what conditions dose a homomorphism exist near an approximately homomorphism? Generally, the concept of stability for a functional equation comes up when we the functional equation is replaced by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of that equation. D. H. Hyers [9] answered to the question affirmatively in 1941 so if $f : E \longrightarrow E'$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x, y \in E$, and for some $\delta > 0$ where E, E' are Banach spaces; then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E \longrightarrow E'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x \in E$. However if $f(tx)$ is a continuous mapping at t for each fixed $x \in E$ then T is linear. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [22] provided a generalization of Hyers's Theorem, which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded. This new concept is known as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations (see [1,3], [5-10], [20,21]).

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad (1.1)$$

⁰ 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 39B52.

⁰ Keywords: stability, quadratic function, fixed point alternative.

is related to symmetric bi-additive function and is called a quadratic functional equation naturally and every solution of the quadratic equation (1.2) is said to be a quadratic function particularly. It is well known that a function f between two real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric bi-additive function B such that $f(x) = B(x, x)$ for all x where

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x+y) - f(x-y)),$$

(see [1,14]). Skof proved Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for quadratic functional equation (1.2) for a class of functions $f : A \rightarrow B$, where A is normed space and B is a Banach space (see [25]). Cholewa [3] noticed that Skof's Theorem is still true if relevant domain A alters to an abelian group. In 1992, Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the equation (1.1) (see [4]) and four years later, Grabiec [7] generalized the result mentioned above. Jun and Kim [11] introduced the following functional equation

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 12f(x),$$

and established the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the functional equation (1.3) in 2002. Obviously, the $f(x) = ax^3$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3), which is called a cubic functional equation. Every solution of the cubic functional equation is said to be a cubic function. Jun and Kim proved also that a function f between two real vector spaces X and Y is a solution of (1.3) if and only if there exists a unique function $C : X \times X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = C(x, x, x)$ for all $x \in X$, also, C is symmetric for each fixed one variable and is additive for fixed two variables.

More over, Y-S. Jung and I-S. Chang [13] proved Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following new cubic type functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2z) + f(x+y-2z) + f(2x) + f(2y) \\ &= 2[f(x+y) + 2f(x+z) + 2f(x-z) + 2f(y+z) + 2f(y-z)], \end{aligned}$$

by using the fixed point alternative. Several functional equations have been investigated in [12,18,19,23,24].

Now, we introduce the following new quadratic type functional equation for fixed integers c with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2cz) + f(x+y-2cz) + c^2 f(2x) + c^2 f(2y) \\ &= 2[f(x+y) + c^2 f(x+z) + c^2 f(x-z) + c^2 f(y+z) + c^2 f(y-z)], \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we establish the general solution and then the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for (1.2) by using the fixed point alternative [15] as in [17].

2. SOLUTION OF (1.2)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces. We here present the general solution of (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. *A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) if and only if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2). Therefore, every solution of functional equations (1.2) is also a quadratic function.*

Proof. Let f satisfy the functional equation (1.1). Putting $x = y = 0$ in (1.1), we get $f(0) = 0$. Set $x = 0$ in (1.1) to get $f(-y) = f(y)$. Letting $y = x$ and $y = 2x$ in (1.1), respectively, we obtain that $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ and $f(3x) = 9f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By induction, we lead to $f(kx) = k^2 f(x)$ for all positive integer k , since f is even and $f(0) = 0$ so

$f(kx) = k^2 f(x)$ for any integer k . Replacing x and y by $2x + y$ and $2x - y$ in (1.1), respectively, gives

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 8f(x) + 2f(y), \quad (2.1)$$

using (1.1) and (2.1), we lead to

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 6f(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, replacing x and y by $3x + y$ and $3x - y$ in (1.1), respectively, then using (1.1), we have

$$f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 16f(x). \quad (2.3)$$

By using the above method, by induction, we infer that

$$f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2(a^2 - 1)f(x). \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and each positive integer $a > 1$ and for a negative integer $a < -1$, replacing a by $-a$ one can easily prove the validity of (2.4). Therefore (1.1) implies (2.4) for any integer $a \neq 0, \pm 1$. First, it is noted that Eq. (2.4) also implies the following equation

$$f(bx + y) + f(bx - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2(b^2 - 1)f(x), \quad (2.5)$$

for all integers $b \neq 0, \pm 1$. Substituting y with by into (2.5), we get by using the identity $f(bx) = b^2 f(x)$,

$$f(x + by) + f(x - by) = b^2 f(x + y) + b^2 f(x - y) + 2(1 - b^2)f(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Replacing y by by in (2.4), we observe that

$$f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = f(x + by) + f(x - by) + 2(a^2 - 1)f(bx). \quad (2.7)$$

Hence, according to (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = b^2 f(x + y) + b^2 f(x - y) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x), \quad (2.8)$$

for any integers a, b with $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq \pm b$. From now on, assume that $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq \pm b$, putting $x = x + y$ and $y = x - y$ in (2.8) and then by using the identity $f(2x) = 4f(x)$, we have

$$f[(a + b)x + (a - b)y] + f[(a - b)x + (a + b)y] = 4b^2(f(x) + f(y)) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x + y). \quad (2.9)$$

Replacing x and y by $x + abz$ and $y + abz$ in (2.9), respectively, one gets that

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a + b)x + (a - b)y + 2a^2bz] + f[(a - b)x + (a + b)y + 2a^2bz] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x + abz) + f(y + abz)) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x + y + 2abz). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Also, Replacing x and y by $x - abz$ and $y - abz$ in (2.9), respectively, one gets that

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a + b)x + (a - b)y - 2a^2bz] + f[(a - b)x + (a + b)y - 2a^2bz] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x - abz) + f(y - abz)) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x + y - 2abz). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Now, by adding (2.10) and (2.11), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a + b)x + (a - b)y + 2a^2bz] + f[(a + b)x + (a - b)y - 2a^2bz] \\ &+ f[(a - b)x + (a + b)y + 2a^2bz] + f[(a - b)x + (a + b)y - 2a^2bz] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x + abz) + f(x - abz) + f(y + abz) + f(y - abz)) \\ &+ 2(a^2 - b^2)(f(x + y + 2abz) + f(x + y - 2abz)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand, we substitute $x = x + abz$ and $y = y - abz$ in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y + 2ab^2z] + f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y - 2ab^2z] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x+abz) + f(y-abz)) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x+y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

And putting $x = x - abz$ and $y = y + abz$ in (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y - 2ab^2z] + f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y + 2ab^2z] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x-abz) + f(y+abz)) + 2(a^2 - b^2)f(x+y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Adding (2.13) to (2.14), we lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y + 2ab^2z] + f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y - 2ab^2z] \\ &+ f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y + 2ab^2z] + f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y - 2ab^2z] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x+abz) + f(x-abz) + f(y+abz) + f(y-abz)) \\ &+ 4(a^2 - b^2)f(x+y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Now, replacing z by $\frac{a}{b}z$ in (2.15), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y + 2a^2bz] + f[(a+b)x + (a-b)y - 2a^2bz] \\ &+ f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y + 2a^2bz] + f[(a-b)x + (a+b)y - 2a^2bz] \\ &= 4b^2(f(x+a^2z) + f(x-a^2z) + f(y+a^2z) + f(y-a^2z)) \\ &+ 4(a^2 - b^2)f(x+y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

If we compare (2.12) with (2.16), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & 4b^2(f(x+abz) + f(x-abz) + f(y+abz) + f(y-abz)) \\ &+ 2(a^2 - b^2)(f(x+y+2abz) + f(x+y-2abz)) \\ &= 4b^2(f(x+a^2z) + f(x-a^2z) + f(y+a^2z) + f(y-a^2z)) \\ &+ 4(a^2 - b^2)f(x+y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

By utilizing from equation (2.8), if $a \neq \pm 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+a^2z) + f(x-a^2z) + f(y+a^2z) + f(y-a^2z) \\ &= a^4(f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)) + 2(1-a^4)(f(x) + f(y)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Also, since $a \neq \pm b$ so $ab \neq \pm 1$, thus by using (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+abz) + f(x-abz) + f(y+abz) + f(y-abz) \\ &= a^2b^2(f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)) + 2(1-a^2b^2)(f(x) + f(y)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, according to (2.17) and (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2abz) + f(x+y-2abz) \\ &= 2f(x+y) + 2a^2b^2(f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)) \\ &- 4a^2b^2(f(x) + f(y)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for any integers a, b with $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq \pm 1, \pm b$. Setting c instead of ab in (2.20), we get the relation for any integers c with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2cz) + f(x+y-2cz) \\ &= 2f(x+y) + 2c^2(f(x+z) + f(x-z) + f(y+z) + f(y-z)) - 4c^2(f(x) + f(y)), \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of the identity $f(2x) = 4f(x)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+y+2cz) + f(x+y-2cz) + c^2 f(2x) + c^2 f(2y) \\ &= 2[f(x+y) + c^2 f(x+z) + c^2 f(x-z) + c^2 f(y+z) + c^2 f(y-z)], \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and any integers c with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$ which implies that f satisfies (1.2).

Conversely, suppose that f satisfies (1.2) for fixed integers c with $c \neq 0, \pm 1$. Setting $y = z = 0$ in (1.2) to get $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, so we can say $f(4x) = 16f(x)$. Replacing x and y by cz and cz , respectively, we obtain

$$f(4cz) = 2f(2cz) + 2c^2[2f((c+1)z) + 2f((c-1)z)] - 2c^2 f(2cz),$$

by using the identities $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ and $f(4x) = 16f(x)$, we have

$$c^2[f((c+1)z) + f((c-1)z)] = 2(c^2 + 1)f(cz). \quad (2.21)$$

And replacing x and y by $(c+1)z$ and $(c-1)z$, respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(4cz) &= 2f(2cz) + 2c^2[f((c+2)z) + f((c-2)z) + 2f(cz)] \\ &\quad - c^2[f(2(c+1)z) + f(2(c-1)z)], \end{aligned}$$

now, by using the identities and (2.21), we see that

$$c^2[f((c+2)z) + f((c-2)z)] = 2(c^2 + 4)f(cz). \quad (2.22)$$

By using the above method, by induction, we infer that

$$c^2[f((c+k)z) + f((c-k)z)] = 2(c^2 + k^2)f(cz), \quad (2.23)$$

for all $z \in X$ and each positive integer $k \geq 1$ for a negative integer $k \leq -1$, replacing k by $-k$ one can easily prove validity of (2.23). Therefore, (1.2) implies (2.23) for any integer $k \neq 0$. Substituting k by $3c$ in (2.23) and dividing it by c^2 , and then by using the identities to get

$$f(-cz) = f(cz),$$

for all $z \in X$, so f is even. Letting $x = y = 0$ in (1.2) and then using the evenness of f , gives $f(2cz) = 4c^2 f(z)$ for all $z \in X$. Set $y = 0$ in (1.2) and using the identity $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ and then applying the evenness of f , we deduce that

$$f(x+2cz) + f(x-2cz) = 2c^2 f(x+z) + 2c^2 f(x-z) + 4c^2 f(z) + 2(1-2c^2)f(x). \quad (2.24)$$

Setting $2cx$ instead of x in (2.24) and then using the identity $f(2cx) = 4c^2 f(x)$, reduces to

$$f(2cx+z) + f(2cx-z) = 2f(x+z) + 2f(x-z) - 4(1-2c^2)f(x) - 2f(z). \quad (2.25)$$

Interchange x and z in (2.24), one gets

$$f(z+2cx) + f(z-2cx) = 2c^2 f(z+x) + 2c^2 f(z-x) + 4c^2 f(x) + 2(1-2c^2)f(z), \quad (2.26)$$

since f is even so from (2.26), we lead to

$$f(2cx+z) + f(2cx-z) = 2c^2 f(x+z) + 2c^2 f(x-z) + 4c^2 f(x) + 2(1-2c^2)f(z). \quad (2.27)$$

Thus, from (2.25) and (2.27), we obtain that

$$(2c^2 - 2)f(x+z) + (2c^2 - 2)f(x-z) = (4c^2 - 4)f(x) + (4c^2 - 4)f(z), \quad (2.28)$$

but since $c \neq 0, \pm 1$ so from (2.28), we have

$$f(x+z) + f(x-z) = 2f(x) + 2f(z),$$

for all $x, z \in X$. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. [15].(the alternative of fixed point.) Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space (Ω, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ with Lipschitz constant L . Then, for each given $x \in \Omega$, either

- $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$,
- or there exists a natural number n_0 such that
- ★ $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- ★ sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T ;
- ★ y^* is the unique fixed point of T in the set $\Lambda = \{y \in \Omega : d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- ★ $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in \Lambda$.

Utilizing the above-mentioned fixed point alternative, we now obtain our main result, i.e., the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2).

From this point on, let X be a real vector space and let Y be a Banach space. Before taking up the main subject, we define the difference operator $\Delta_f : X \times X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f(x, y, z) = & f(x + y + 2cz) + f(x + y - 2cz) + c^2 f(2x) + c^2 f(2y) \\ & - 2[f(x + y) + c^2 f(x + z) + c^2 f(x - z) + c^2 f(y + z) + c^2 f(y - z)], \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and each fixed integers c such that $c \neq 0, \pm 1$ where f is a given $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed, and Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \varphi(2^{nj} x, 2^{nj} y, 2^{nj} z) = 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$\|\Delta_f(x, y, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \quad (2.30)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and. If there exists $L = L(j) < 1$ such that the function

$$x \mapsto \psi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0, 0\right),$$

has the property

$$\psi(x) \leq L \cdot 2^{2j} \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right), \quad (2.31)$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}{c^2(1-L)} \psi(x), \quad (2.32)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$\Omega = \{g \mid g : X \rightarrow Y, g(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on Ω ,

$$d(g, h) = d_\psi(g, h) = \inf\{K \in (0, \infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\psi(x), x \in X\}.$$

It is easy to see that (Ω, d) is complete. Now we define a function $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$T g(x) = \frac{1}{2^{2j}} g(2^j x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Note that for all $g, h \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) < K &\Rightarrow \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\psi(x), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{2^{2j}}g(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{2j}}h(2^j x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2j}} K \psi(2^j x), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{2^{2j}}g(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{2j}}h(2^j x) \right\| \leq L K \psi(x), \quad x \in X, \\ &\Rightarrow d(Tg, Th) \leq L K. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq L d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$, that is, T is a strictly self-mapping of Ω with the Lipschitz constant L . Putting $y = z = 0$ in (2.30), we have

$$\|c^2 f(2x) - 4c^2 f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0, 0), \quad (2.33)$$

now, by using (2.31) with the case $j = 1$, we obtain that

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^2} f(2x)\| \leq \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{2^2} \varphi(x, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{2^2} \psi(2x) \leq \frac{L}{c^2} \psi(x),$$

for all $x \in X$, that is, $d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L}{c^2} < \infty$.

If we substitute $x = \frac{x}{2}$ in (2.33) and use (2.31) with the case $j = -1$, then we see that

$$\|f(x) - 2^2 f(\frac{x}{2})\| \leq \frac{1}{c^2} \psi(x),$$

for all $x \in X$, that is, $d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{1}{c^2} < \infty$.

Now, from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point Q of T in Ω such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} f(2^{nj} x) \quad (2.34)$$

for all $x \in X$ since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n f, Q) = 0$.

Also, if we replace x, y and z by $2^{nj} x, 2^{nj} y$ and $2^{nj} z$ in (2.30), respectively, and divide by 2^{2nj} , then it follows from (2.29) and (2.34) that

$$\|\Delta_Q(x, y, z)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \|\Delta_f(2^{nj} x, 2^{nj} y, 2^{nj} z)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \varphi(2^{nj} x, 2^{nj} y, 2^{nj} z) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, so $\Delta_Q(x, y, z) = 0$. By Theorem 2.1, the function Q is quadratic.

According to the fixed point alternative, since Q is the unique fixed point of T in the set $\Lambda = \{g \in \Omega : d(f, g) < \infty\}$, Q is the unique function such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq K \psi(x),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $K > 0$. Again using the fixed point alternative, gives

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}{c^2(1-L)},$$

thus we conclude that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}{c^2(1-L)} \psi(x),$$

this completes the proof. $\square$

From Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following Corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability [22] of the functional equation (1.2).

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed, and $p \geq 0$ be given with $p \neq 2$. Assume that $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is fixed. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function such that

$$\|\Delta_f(x, y, z)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p), \quad (2.35)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Further, assume that $f(0) = 0$ in (2.35) for the case $p > 2$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{j\varepsilon}{c^2(4 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p, \quad (2.36)$$

for all $x \in X$, where $pj < 2j$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y, z) = \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the relation (2.29) is true for $pj < 2j$.

Since the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2^{2j}} \psi(2^j x) = \frac{2^{(p-3)j}}{2^p} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \leq 2^{(p-3)j} \psi(x),$$

for all $x \in X$, where $pj < 2j$, we see that the inequality (2.31) holds with $L = 2^{(p-3)j}$. Now from (2.32), yields (2.36), which complete the proof of the Corollary. $\square$

The following Corollary is the Hyers-Ulam stability [9] of the functional equation (1.2).

Corollary 2.5. Assume that $\delta \geq 0$ is fixed. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function such that

$$\|\Delta_f(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{9c^2},$$

holds for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $p = 0$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{3}$ and applying Corollary 2.4. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] I.-S. Chang, Y.-S. Jung, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quadratic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283 (2003) 491–500.
- [3] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. 27 (1984) 76–86.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 62 (1992) 59–64.
- [5] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14(1991) 431–434.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [7] A. Grabiec, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a class of functional equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 48 (1996) 217–235.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 27 (1941) 222–224.

- [10] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of ψ -additive mappings*, J. Approx. Theory 72(1993),131-137.
- [11] K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 274 (2002) 867–878.
- [12] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Inequal. Anal. 222 (1998), 126-137.
- [13] S.-M. Jung, L.-S. Chang, *The stability of a cubic type functional equation with The fixed point alternative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306 (2005) 752–760.
- [14] Pl. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces*, Results Math. 27 (1995) 368–372.
- [15] B. Margolis, J.B. Diaz, *A fixed point Theorem of the alternative for contractions on the generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.126 74(1968) 305–309
- [16] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 37 (2006), 361-376.
- [17] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, in:Seminar on fixed point Theory Cluj-Napoca, vol. IV, 2003, in press.
- [18] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of a quadratic stability Hyers-Ulam type problem*, Ricerche Mat. 50 (2001), 9-17
- [19] J. M. Rassias, *Approximation of approximately quadratic mapping by quadratic mappings*, Ann. Math. Sil. 15 (2001), 67-78.
- [20] Th. M. Rassias (Ed.), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl. 62 (2000) 23–130.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of quadratic functional equation and its applications*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai XLIII(1998), 89-124.
- [24] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000) 264–284.
- [25] F. Skof, *Propriet locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 53 (1983),. 113129.
- [26] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science Ed., Wiley, New York, 1940.